

Responses to the statutory consultation on Hampshire's proposed school catchment changes for 2023/24

Catchment Change: Greenaway Lane Development

Sarisbury Infant School, Sarisbury CE Junior School and Hook-with-Warsash CE Primary Academy.

Proposal:

To redraw the boundaries so that the new housing situated within the catchment area for the Sarisbury schools, along with a small number of existing houses on Greenaway Lane is removed and fully incorporated into the catchment area for Hook-with-Warsash CE Primary Academy.

- *Hook-with-Warsash are running their own consultation as they are their own admission authority.*

To include transitional arrangements in the admission policies for Sarisbury Infant School and Sarisbury CE Junior School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in these schools.

The consultation period opened on Friday 8 October 2021 closing on Friday 5 November 2021. The proposed catchment change was included in the statutory consultation that ran from Monday 15 November to Friday 31 December 2021.

The following responses were received:

1	<p>Subject: Consultation on catchment area change – Sarisbury Junior School</p> <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing on behalf of the governing body at Sarisbury Junior School and would like to express my thoughts on the proposed catchment changes from September 2023.</p> <p>The first change which will effect the Junior school is the change at the top of Swanwick Lane leading onto Botley Road. I feel that this will have little impact on future numbers and in fact makes absolute sense. I would however, like reassurance that there will be provision made for the children who fall in this catchment already attending SJS. When it comes to moving onto secondary school it would be reassuring to know that these few children effected by this change can still attend Brookfield with their peers if they so wish.</p> <p>The change which will impact both Sarisbury schools is the change at the new Greenaway Lane development. I am very concerned that the decision to include all 700 homes in the catchment of Hook with Warsash will negatively impact the number on roll in Sarisbury in the years to come.</p> <p>The general consensus is that going forward there will be a fall in numbers on roll throughout the cluster. All forecast reports that have been compiled for Sarisbury include the provision of</p>
----------	---

	<p>350 new homes. I am therefore very concerned that we will be facing a further decrease in numbers by this change.</p> <p>I am seeking some reassurance on the longer term implication for sustainability of numbers in the Sarisbury Catchment area if all of the new housing is incorporated in Hook with Warsash's catchment.</p> <p>Your feedback would be greatly appreciated before any decision is made.</p>
2	<p>Subject - Consultation on school catchment area -Sarisbury Green / Hook with Warsash</p> <p>Dear Mr Parkinson</p> <p>I am a governor at Sarisbury Infant school, and this evening we have been discussing your proposal to move the catchment area boundary around Greenaway Lane.</p> <p>On reviewing the map, it was noted that a lot of recent housing developments, particularly around Admirals Wood and Strawberry Fields are not included on your map, which appears to be around 10 years out of date.</p> <p>What assurance are you able to provide that the data you are using for the purpose of this consultation is up to date?</p> <p>Your sincerely</p>
3	<p>Subject – Consultation on Catchment Areas for Sarisbury and Hook with Warsash Primaries</p> <p>Dear Mr Parkinson,</p> <p>I write as a resident of Warsash and one of the Joint Vicars of St Mary, Hook with Warsash, in response to your consultation about the proposed change of catchment areas, since the whole area under discussion falls within my parish boundaries. I apologise that this arrives on your last stated day for input.</p> <p>I am broadly very much in favour of the proposals since, as the local parish priest I take a keen interest in where people 'feel' they belong and this change will place the new housing area squarely within the 'orbit' of Warsash village centre. Residents still have a keen attachment to locality and when I talk to new residents they often talk about the attractions of living in Warsash, which is why they have moved here. Thus adding the new housing to the local school's catchment area makes eminent sense in social terms. As a Foundation Governor at Hook with Warsash I am aware it will also help secure the financial future of the local Primary Academy, which is a major player in local village life. These are solid reasons for implementing the changes you propose.</p> <p>The only disagreement I would have with the proposal is keeping some of the houses bordering Brook Lane in the Sarisbury catchment: this makes no sense in social terms as the residents of those homes look towards Warsash as their village centre rather than Sarisbury. It would make much more sense, socially, for the arterial road to be the boundary, up to Peters Road, rather than the edge of the new housing. This would also ease traffic congestion which will only increase with the new estates.</p>

	<p>The transitional arrangements for those families currently with children at Sarisbury schools seem very sensible. The fact that there may be families who fall outside the criteria in the future would not stop such families putting a Sarisbury school at the top of their preference list.</p> <p>I shall respond in similar vein to the separate consultation being run by Hook with Warsash Primary Academy.</p> <p>I would be grateful if you would accept and pass on my thanks to you and your team for your hard work on behalf of the children and schools in Hampshire, and particularly this local area which has seen some turbulence in recent years.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p> <p>Dear Mr Parkinson,</p> <p>My apologies for quoting the wrong road in my letter below when discussing my one disagreement with the proposed boundary! The boundary should, clearly, not be at Peters Road but at Brook Avenue, on the opposite side of Brook Lane to the new development. The aim should be to include all the homes south of Brook Avenue (south), east and west, and not to create a small 'enclave' of homes on the east side of Brook Lane eating into the proposed new catchment area for Hook. The transition arrangements will accommodate any families with children currently at Sarisbury, but creating that enclave on the east of Brook Lane for the long term future makes no sense socially.</p> <p>Residents of Brook Avenue (south) also tend to look to Warsash as their village, while those of Brook Avenue (north) tend to look northwards. This is because Brook Avenue is almost impassable at its western end, dividing the road into two distinct communities. I am aware that Hook Academy currently has, and has taken in the past, children from homes in Brook Avenue (south) but not from Brook Avenue (north).</p> <p>Having also been fairly heavily involved in drawing up boundaries for the new Whiteley Church of England parish (but not Cornerstone school catchment), I am aware of local feelings on such matters.</p> <p>I hope all this is useful to your deliberations and would be happy to discuss further if you wish, using my contact details herewith.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>
4	<p>Subject - FAO Glenn Parkinson - Hook with Warsash CoE School catchment area consultation</p> <p>Dear Glenn,</p> <p>As the Chair of Governors at Hook with Warsash CoE school, I am writing to you in that capacity regarding the proposed school catchment area changes.</p> <p>Following a detailed review of the proposals, I welcome the changes and have no doubt this will have a hugely positive impact on our school and the wider community. I recognise the need for additional housing in the local area and, based on the location of these new properties, geographically it makes sense that these would fall into our school's catchment area. I would</p>

	<p>suggest, however, that the boundary line is somewhat convoluted and may benefit from simplification. For example, using Brook lane as a definitive marker would prevent the creation of a catchment island. This would be clearer for all to understand, particularly those looking to move into the area and, perhaps more importantly, reduce the likelihood of children living in houses with adjoining gardens attending different schools.</p> <p>I would also like to highlight the current intake at our school and how this is on a downward trend. Without the exceptional work of the Head Teacher, staff and governing body to promote the school in recent years this would be an even greater concern. That said, without increasing intake sustainably, there is likely to be a greater bearing long term, potentially reducing classes and the knock on impact that would have.</p> <p>I would also note the wider community across all schools involved and the relationships between us. Although we believe the catchment proposal is appropriate, we understand that other schools may feel differently. It is something we are happy to work on to ensure any transitions or individuals directly impacted are supported and all children and their families have access to the education they want.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
5	<p>Subject – Consultation on School Catchment area changes for Sarisbury Infant School</p>
	<p>Re: Consultation on School Catchment Area Changes for Sarisbury Infant School</p> <p>Dear Mr Parkinson,</p> <p>Having reviewed the consultation dated 11th October 2021, it has been agreed that I would write to you on behalf of the Governing Body of Sarisbury Infant School.</p> <p>There are areas of concern based upon the map & contents of the letter. Firstly, the map does not show an up-to-date representation of the local area. Specifically, I noted that the large housing estate directly above the proposed catchment area is missing, along with the associated roadways. Despite acknowledging that the area missing doesn't form part of the proposed change, it seems the information provided is not accurate & we are uninformed to make a judgement.</p> <p>Secondly, with continued property prices increasing in the Warsash & Locksheath areas, it would not be unreasonable to assume that any new developments within the proposed area will prove most popular to younger families. Given that the average house price in Warsash over the last year is approx. £390k, & the same period for Locksheath being £371k, one does have to show concern over the long-term stability for the school's intake given the less likely regeneration of families within the revised catchment area.</p> <p>As is, the existing catchment & development would provide fresh housing stock, attractive to new families. Without this, the short- & long-term sustainability of school catchment numbers are in question.</p> <p>Perhaps it would be appropriate, given the significance, for you to provide some further information to support the change. We would welcome further discussion & would invite a member of the Strategic Planning Office to attend our next full Governors meeting on 22nd November 2022.</p> <p>Yours sincerely,</p>
6	<p>Subject - School Catchment Changes - Sarisbury Infant School / Sarisbury Church of England Junior School</p>
	<p>I write in my position as the Treasurer of the Parish of St Mary's Hook-with-Warsash which covers the affected area.</p>

I am in favour of the proposed change to the above catchment area shaded in blue by moving it away from Sarisbury Infant & Junior Schools.

It would seem to me that two further amendments would be sensible to be considered. They are the removal of the remaining houses on Brook Lane, south of Brook Avenue and a line could be drawn from the NE corner of the blue shading in the proposed area in a North Easterly direction to the current nearest point in Priory Park. This would remove the area of Priory Park which is further away from the Sarisbury Schools than the proposed area for movement.

This would result in more equatably divided catchment areas in our Church Parish.

Best wishes,

Catchment Change: North Whiteley Development

Whiteley Primary School, Cornerstone CE VA Primary School, Botley CE Primary School, Sarisbury Infant School, Sarisbury CE Junior School, Henry Cort Community College, Brookfield Community School and Wildern School

Proposal (Primary):

To redraw the catchment boundary so that Whiteley Primary School serves the original housing in Whiteley and Cornerstone CE Primary School serves the newer North Whiteley development which is currently in the catchment area for Sarisbury Infant and Junior and Botley CE Primary School. This arrangement is proposed until the second new primary school is built in the northern end of the development. When this new primary school is opened, the catchment areas between Cornerstone CE Primary School and the new proposed primary school would be redrawn again and there would be further consultation.

- Cornerstone CE Primary School are running their own consultation as they are their own admission authority.

To include transitional arrangements in the admission policies for Sarisbury Infant School, Sarisbury CE Junior School and Botley CE Primary School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in these schools.

Proposal (Secondary)

To redraw the boundaries so that the whole of the new development falls within the catchment area for Henry Cort Community College, thus removing it from Brookfield Community School's catchment area.

- Wildern Academy Trust, as the admission authority for Wildern School are running their own consultation to remove the part of the development that currently falls within their catchment area.

To include transitional arrangements in the admission policies for Sarisbury Infant School, Sarisbury CE Junior School and Botley CE Primary School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in these schools.

The consultation period opened on Friday 8 October 2021 closing on Friday 5 November 2021. The proposed catchment change was included in the statutory consultation that ran from Monday 15 November to Friday 31 December 2021.

The following responses were received:

<p>1)</p>	<p>Subject: 2023 Consultation on Catchments</p> <p>Martin,</p> <p>I fully support the proposed changes to primary school catchments in Whiteley. It would have been a great help to us if it had come into affect from sept 2022.</p> <p>Our situation is that I have a year 5 in Whiteley Primary and a preschooler due to start school in sept 2022. After a house move, I'm no longer in catchment for Whiteley, which I accept. I have a joint custody arrangement with my year 5's father and would not be permitted to move her school. I'm therefore stuck (stuck is the wrong word, whiteley is an amazing school, I just mean I can't move her, even if i wanted to) with a child in Whiteley Primary school and a Preschooler who's catchment is Sarisbury Green, even though I can almost see Cornerstone from my front door. Sarisbury green is around a 20 min drive each way. School start and finish times would mean that one of the children would be very late for school every day, which is also unacceptable. Whiteley Primary would obvisouly be my preference, but I accept that I chose to move house. I didnt for one moment think that a school, that I can pretty much see from my front door, wouldn't be in my catchment area. One in Cornerstone and one is Whiteley is doable, just about on the timings front.</p> <p>Whilst this consultation doesn't help me for this year it makes much more sense now that the location of the school has moved.</p>
<p>2)</p>	<p>Subject: North Whiteley Catchment School Proposals</p> <p>Hi Martin,</p> <p>Thank you for your letter dated 08/10/2021 regarding school catchment areas for North Whiteley. Me and my children live in North Whiteley and my eldest daughter currently attends Swanmore College with the school bus picking up on Botley Road on the entrance to Curbridge Meadows part of North Whiteley. The proposal for school catchments hasn't included Swanmore College, even though this is closer to North Whiteley than Henry Cort. Swanmore College 5.5 miles from North Whiteley and Henry Cort 6.9 miles from North Whiteley.</p> <p>Can you explain why Swanmore isn't a candidate for the catchment school please, as I hope to send my youngest to Swanmore in 2023? I don't understand the why Henry Cort would be prioritised when it's such a considerably longer distance away from our home than Swanmore and the school bus stop is closer than Henry Cort's bus?</p> <p>There are currently 2 full double decker buses picking up Swanmore college children from Lidl through Yew tree drive and Botley road, with more and more catching the bus from Curbridge Meadows (North Whiteley), with more and more using the bus/attending Swanmore as people move into the new development. This is comparable if not more than the amount going to Henry Cort from Whiteley.</p> <p>We are closer to Botley road than we are to Whitley. Can this please be considered for the children who will be required to travel up to the Henry Cort bus stop to get to school</p>

on time from north whiteley, considering they already have to catch the bus to Swanmore at 7:30 onwards which is closer than Henry Cort?

Kind regards

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed catchment change.

Cornerstone Primary School and Whiteley Primary School are linked schools to Henry Cort School in Fareham, hence the proposal to align the catchment area with Henry Cort School.

I am assuming that you chose to send your daughter to Swanmore College as a preference. As I understand it the bus that takes your daughter to Swanmore is a commercial service through Portsmouth City Coaches commercial service for which there is a charge. Had your daughter attended Henry Cort School the bus service would be provided by Hampshire County Council as a free service for the pupils.

You will still be able to apply for a place at Swanmore College for your younger daughter as part of the application process and if there is a place available then your daughter will be offered a place.

Longer term it is proposed to provide a secondary school in Whiteley that will be large enough to accommodate all Whiteley (and North Whiteley) pupils wanting a place although this is still some time away.

I will ensure that your comments form part of the report on the outcome of this consultation and thank you for taking the time to reply.

Kind regards,

Martin Shefferd

Hi Martin,

Thank you for your reply.

In answer, no it wasn't for preference it was because it was decided by HCC school admissions and school transport Swanmore College was catchment, as it was agreed the distance to Swanmore was less than that to Henry Cort and at the time there was no safe footpath for my daughter to access Henry Cort school bus from North Whiteley nor were any catchment schools decided for North Whiteley. This was over a year ago now when there were only a handful living here. As a result, I get the school bus funded, as do all the other children who attend Swanmore from North Whiteley.

I understand your argument re: Cornerstone and Whiteley primary but Cornerstone has now moved onto North Whiteley and therefore the distance has changed to Henry Cort, considerably.

Plus, that decision seems too late for a large number of children now going to Swanmore and getting the school bus funded. I hope this won't affect those children in future school bus funding. I think it would be worth you looking into just how many children

	<p>attend Swanmore from North Whiteley now (the school bus to Swanmore is 2 double deckers worth), as I fear there maybe an assumption not many children live here yet or any investigation into where these children living here currently attend?</p> <p>You be asking the children in North Whiteley to travel quite a distance not only to the Henry Cort bus stop but also to school overall when Swanmore bus stop is so much closer and Swanmore College much closer to North Whiteley. Otherwise the poor children having to travel to Henry Cort will be leaving home just after 7am to get there on time, which seems absolutely ridiculous.</p> <p>Also FYI Brookfield does not have a school bus from any location.</p> <p>It may be called North Whiteley but it's location is more Curbridge.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Dear</p> <p>I have checked with the Home to School Transport service. From reviewing an email chain from March this year it is clear that your daughter was eligible for transport assistance from HCC because she is attending Swanmore, as the nearest available school place when you moved to your current home. At the time Brookfield School, the catchment school, was full in her year group.</p> <p>She continues to be, and will continue to be, eligible for assistance. At the moment her service is provided by the Council paying for a season ticket on the commercial service going to Swanmore. From memory the service was re-routed slightly to collect North Whiteley resident Swanmore pupils. That is the preferred method for assisting your daughter to get to and from Swanmore but if that service were to change she would continue to be supported by the local authority. Her eligibility for transport will not be affected by the proposed change to catchment areas. Eligibility would only be reassessed if you moved address or there is a change in the school attended.</p> <p>I hope this answers your question.</p>
3)	<p>Subject: North Whiteley School Boundary Changes</p> <p>Dear Martin</p> <p>I am writing to you following our conversation today regarding the proposed secondary school catchment changes in North Whitley. From Brookfield Community School to Henry Cort Community College.</p> <p>I totally understand the proposed changed for the primary and secondary schools and it does make sense. However this is my problem.</p> <p>My daughter who is currently in year 5 at St Johns School (Titchfield Common) would like to go to Brookfield with her friends in the year Sept 2023 (the year of the proposed changes). We moved from Titchfield Common into a new build in North Whiteley in June 2021. I specifically checked before the move that Chloe would still be in the catchment of Brookfield (which she currently is - prior to any changes). Hence you can see my disappointment that she potentially and likely will no longer be in catchment. Appreciate</p>

	<p>there is Henry Court and Swanmore College which are also good schools, it is just Chloe would wish to be with her friends.</p> <p>I have spoken with Mrs Merriman (Head of St Johns). I appreciate we can still put our 1st choice as Brookfield and potentially Chloe may get her 1st choice (out of catchment) dependent on the school year numbers of application etc. However I feel this is unlikely, as places for children out of catchment would most likely be given to those who live the nearest to Brookfield i.e. children in Whiteley who are closer and would take precedence over children in North Whiteley as it is further away.</p> <p>I am asking if you can add in the proposed catchment changes that you could mitigate for perhaps children who have moved into North Whiteley when in catchment of Brookfield and hence they would remain in catchment regardless of the 2023 changes. I do understand and appreciate where do you draw the line though as my daughter is in year 5 there may be other families in other younger years in a similar situation.</p> <p>Can you please consider my problem and discuss with the administration's group if there is anything that can be done? Is there any way we can still be in considered in catchment of Brookfield for September 2023? We moved in June 2021 to North Whiteley with the understanding that our postcode PO15 7PW was in catchment of Brookfield.</p>
4)	<p>Subject: North Whiteley School Catchment</p> <p>I received your letter regarding the consultation about school catchment areas for North Whiteley.</p> <p>I would not be at all happy sending my child to secondary school in Fareham - it's too far away, twice as far away as the other schools, such as Brookfield or Wildern. In fact, if those schools weren't available I sooner my daughter go to Swanmore than Henry Cort - again, easier to get to.</p> <p>I wouldn't have moved to North Whiteley if I knew there was a chance my daughter had to go to school in Fareham. I won't be sending my daughter there.</p>
5)	<p>Subject: Proposal of catchment of North Whiteley</p> <p>Dear Martin Shefferd, I have received a letter about the change of catchment of secondary school for the North Whiteley development. I would like you to consider that this proposal was not given to the public when we were making decisions about purchasing the property in this development. I selected where I live because there were many options for secondary schools and I did a lot of research into this before I purchased my property, however there was no mention of a change of catchment in any of the planning documents.</p> <p>From my location Henry Court is the furthest school at 6.2 miles away, whereas Swanmore School is 6 miles away, Deer Park school is just 3.5 miles away and Brookfield is 3.2 miles away. I fail to understand why a school that is so far away from where I live can be my daughters catchment school when there are 3 schools closer. I do not live on that side of Whiteley to make a Fareham school a sensible option. I would like this point to be put forward in your consultation. Many thanks</p>

<p>6)</p>	<p>Subject: Re: Consultation on school catchment area changes: Whiteley Primary, Cornerstone CoE Primary, Botley CoE Primary, Sarisbury Infant and Sarisbury CoE Junior; Henry Cort Community College, Brookfield Community School and Wildern School</p> <p>Good morning Martin.</p> <p>I am emailing following a FGB meeting at Cornerstone CE Primary on Thursday 7 October.</p> <p>At this meeting the GB voted to consult on changes to Cornerstone's Admission policy for 2023-2024 which would identify the Catchment Area as the 'Ecclesiastical Parish' of Hope Church Whiteley (which was finalised in February 2021).</p> <p>The view of the Governing Body is that by this change which would include all of original Whiteley and the new North Whiteley development, it would give all parents within Whiteley the choice to put a C of E school as their first choice within catchment if they wished to do so.</p> <p>I am aware obviously of previous conversations we have had with Lesley Pennington and Joe Cooil, and the consultation which you have emailed out (below). I wanted to advise you of this other consultation at the earliest possible time.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
<p>7)</p>	<p>Subject: Consultation on school catchment area changes: Whiteley Primary, Cornerstone CoE Primary, Botley CoE Primary, Sarisbury Infant and Sarisbury CoE Junior; Henry Cort Community College, Brookfield Community School and Wildern School</p> <p>Good Morning,</p> <p>Going back to the early days of the establishment of Cornerstone the intention was the Cornerstone as a VA CofE School would have a catchment area that covered all of the existing Whiteley development and would add the North Whiteley development area once this was in existence. From the start we knew that this would mean that part of our catchment area would be shared with Whiteley Primary School from the start and we would also share a catchment area with the additional Primary School that is planned for North Whiteley.</p> <p>Unfortunately at the start Whiteley Church wasn't an ecclesiastical parish in it's own right, however the intention was that when this happened the catchment area for Cornerstone School would become the same as the the area covered by the new ecclesiastical parish of Whiteley. This was legally established by the Church of England earlier this year and therefore it is now appropriate that the plans and agreements that were made early on should be enacted.</p> <p>The Western boundary of the new Whiteley parish were drawn up so that any houses that could be accessed directly from the Botley Road would remain in the ecclesiastical parish of St Paul's Sarisbury Green and would not be included in the new Whiteley parish.</p>

	<p>Having looked at the boundary map of the ecclesiastical parish of Whiteley and the proposed catchment area changes from Hampshire County Council these do not coincide and if both go through there will be houses left without a catchment area. I have attached a screen grab of the area that this applies to, this is taken from the Church of England's mapping system.</p> <p>It would also be prudent for Hampshire to double check the other boundaries of the new ecclesiastical parish to ensure these coincide with other school catchment areas.</p> <p>I hope that makes sense!</p> <p>All the best,</p>
8)	<p>Subject: North Whiteley school catchment proposal feedback/question</p> <p>Just to add to my previous point, Henry Cort School would be twice as far away from us as Brookfield in terms of travel.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>Dear Mr Shefford,</p> <p>Thank-you for your letter dated 8 October regarding the consultation on school catchment areas for Whiteley North.</p> <p>Would dispensation/ consideration be given to those who have just moved to Whiteley North who already have children at school in Locks Heath Juniors and are soon to go to secondary school?</p> <p>She is due to go to secondary school in a few years and we would like her to go to Brookfield in order to be with her peers and make that switch to higher education a smooth transition.</p> <p>Best wishes,</p>
9)	<p>Subject: Secondary school catchment consultation</p> <p>Dear Martin,</p> <p>The full Henry Cort Governing Board met on the 20th October 2021 to review the planned changes to catchment areas in the Whiteley/Park Gate areas as per the consultation.</p> <p>The Board discussed the matter at length and is broadly in support of the changes. We note the benefit of aligning and simplifying catchment areas to local schools and the opportunity this will bring to work even closer to our feeder schools.</p> <p>We are aware of the proposed new secondary schools potentially in Whiteley and Wellborne that may or may not be built to support the potential increase of secondary aged pupil as the number of residential homes increase.</p>

	<p>We would like to understand what IMPACT the addition of the potential 2 new schools will have on the long-term vision for Henry Cort College. Henry Cort College is currently operating efficiently at pan numbers and the Governing Board is keen to ensure that any planned growth in numbers are managed.</p> <p>Many thanks</p>
--	--

Catchment Change: Boorley Gardens, Woodhouse Lane and One Horton Heath development

Botley CE Primary School, Berrywood Primary School, Fair Oak Infant School, Fair Oak Junior School and St James' CE Primary School.

Proposal (Boorley Gardens):

To redraw the boundaries to remove the new housing development at Boorley Park from the catchment areas of Botley CE Primary School and Fair Oak Infant and Junior Schools and include it in the catchment area for Boorley Park Primary School

- Wildern Academy Trust, as the admission authority for Boorley Park Primary School will run their own consultation to extend their catchment to include the new development.

To include transitional arrangements in the admission policy for Botley CE Primary School, Fair Oak Infant School and Fair Oak Junior School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in these schools.

Proposal (Woodhouse Lane):

To redraw the boundaries to remove the development from Botley CE Primary School and place it in the catchment area for Berrywood Primary School.

To include the following transitional arrangement in the admission policy for Botley CE Primary School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in this school.

Proposal (One Horton Heath)

To redraw the boundaries to remove the new housing development from the catchment areas of the Fair Oak Schools and move it into the catchment area for Saint James' CE Primary School. A new Primary school is planned to meet the demand from the development and therefore we anticipate a future consultation to take account of the new provision.

To include transitional arrangements in the admission policy for Fair Oak Infant School and Fair Oak Junior School to mitigate the impact of the catchment change for families currently living in the affected area with children already in these schools.

The consultation period opened on Friday 8 October 2021 closing on Friday 5 November 2021. The proposed catchment change was included in the statutory consultation that ran from Monday 15 November to Friday 31 December 2021.

The following responses were received:

<p>1)</p>	<p>Subject: Botley catchment</p> <p>Hi,</p> <p>It's really difficult to understand the attached. There is no key and you couldn't make it less clear if you were trying.</p> <p>When publishing things like this, please try and think of the end user and making things understandable.</p> <p>Publishing things like this just lead to more questions. Also, I would like to see an accessible version please for people who are data illiterate or find data presented in this way hard to follow.</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to contact us. Your comments are valued and have been recorded for consideration in relation to future catchment change consultations.</p> <p>Regarding the proposed changes to the Southern Parishes catchment areas, please find attached maps of each catchment area showing the proposed changes in more detail. Also attached is a copy of the letter sent to key consultees that describes the proposed catchment changes giving the rationale for the changes along with the transitional arrangements for children living within the affected catchment areas.</p> <p>Please let me know if you require more information.</p>
<p>2)</p>	<p>Subject: Consultation on School Catchment Area Changes for Botley Primary, Berrywood Primary, Fair Oak Infant & Junior School and Saint James' Primary School</p> <p>I have been asked this question: 'How will this affect children currently at Fair Oak Infants applying to Fair Oak Juniors when the boundaries change? Are the schools considered linked?'</p> <p>Can you supply an answer, please?</p>
<p>3)</p>	<p>Subject: Saint James CE Primary - Catchment Change Consultation</p> <p>Michelle,</p> <p>Thank you for your positive response to the proposal to increase the catchment area for Saint James CE Primary school from 2023. I am most grateful to you and your governors for your support in making the change so that families who occupy the first houses on the One Horton Heath development are able to so in the knowledge there is a school in reasonable proximity.</p> <p>The Local authority is committed to supporting the school if there is a need for additional accommodation as a result of in catchment demand for school places and additional funding in line with the Growing Schools Policy. We have no plans to increase your PAN</p>

	<p>beyond 90 as the change in catchment arrangements for the new development would be a relatively short term arrangement.</p> <p>I am currently in discussion with the developer ahead of finalising the education provision in the s106 which includes seeking an obligation on the developer to provide appropriate transport to school for children who arrive on the development prior to the new primary school opening and are eligible. I hope that goes some way to addressing your concerns regarding increased traffic outside the school during drop off and pick up times.</p> <p>Please let me know if you wish to discuss these matters further.</p>
--	---

*All of the above consultations were conducted by letter, email and added to the corporate list of active consultations:
(<https://www.hants.gov.uk/aboutthecouncil/haveyoursay/consultations>).*